

www.Balazs.com

Upcoming Events

Laboratories Closed
All Locations
December 25

Laboratories Closed
All Locations
January 01

Photonics West
San Jose, CA
January 23-25

Summer 2006
Edition of Analytical
Insight

Balazs Launches Fab & Tool Optima™ Program

Solve the Contamination Mystery

Fab & Tool Optima™ (OPTImization for MAnufacturing) is an analytical program that provides a methodology for identifying and eliminating microcontamination throughout the fab and inside tool environments. Balazs aims to 'solve the microcontamination mysteries' that occur in every manufacturing facility around the world.



By implementing an Optima program suited for your facility and process, you can expect to eliminate current contamination sources. Creating a contamination-free environment for processing, whether it is the fab process deck or in the tool, and resolving excursions quickly will decrease production ramp time, increase yields and reduce downtime for semiconductor manufacturers. The Optima program is applicable to both IC processing and tool design and manufacturing. It combines the synergy that must exist between tool manufacturers, facility engineers, engineers on the production floor and their supply chain.

[Read Full Article](#)

PPQ Analysis for UPW Is At Hand



The ITRS guidelines currently contain specifications for critical metallic impurities in UPW set at less than 1 ppt. This specification will continue through the year 2007.

Starting in 2008 this guideline will require that no critical metallic impurity be greater than 0.5 ppt (500 ppq). Understanding the direction for the guidelines, Balazs is currently able to analyze for 18 metals to ppq levels.

Quick Notes

Stepper Warranty Validation- AMC and Refractory Compounds

Stepper manufacturers require certain, periodic analyses in order to maintain full warranty coverage of the tool. Balazs conducts these analyses and provides analytical reports to illustrate due diligence to tool manufacturers. To maintain warranty coverage...

[Read Note](#)

'Best Process Innovation' Awarded to Balazs Analytical Services

ICIS Publications' Innovation Awards 2006 sponsored by Dow Corning, awarded Balazs Analytical Services as the winner in the category of Best Process Innovation. The innovation, commercially known as SARIS™, is the culmination...

[Read Note](#)

Balazs offers SARIS™ as an Alternate Screening Method for RoHS Compliance

SARIS™ utilizes laser ablation ICP-MS to provide a screening method that provides rapid, quantified results to support RoHS compliance programs. The RoHS directives have defined specification levels...

[Read Note](#)

Vacuum Technology Analyses

Vacuum technology processes span the spectrum of high-tech industries and manufacturing methods. Balazs offers a multitude of analytical services to ensure optimum use and capability of vacuum processes by contamination identification and compositional analysis to maximize yields.

[Read Note](#)

[Do You Know???](#)



EXPERTISE
=
SOLUTIONS



ITRS
Testing for:
AMC
·
SMC
·
Lithography
Purge
Gases

(510) 657-0600
www.balazs.com

This article presents data regarding the validation of new analytical methods that not only satisfy the analysis requirements of the current ITRS guidelines, but will also meet the new analytical guidelines for 2008. Applications of how ppq analysis of UPW solves process problems in current semiconductor illustrate the practical use of these advanced techniques.

[Read Full Article](#)

Trivia- Win \$50

Balazs is giving away a \$50 gift certificate for submitting the correct answers to each of the trivia questions in this issue. Answers must be submitted before December 06, 2006. A random drawing of all qualified entries will determine the winner.

Enjoy the challenge!

[Submit Trivia Answers](#)

[Send Me More Information](#) | [Download Newsletter](#) | www.Balazs.com

COPYRIGHT © 2001 AIR LIQUIDE ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Fab & Tool OPTIMA™ Solves the Contamination Mystery



By Victor Chia PhD

Background

Balazs™ has been providing a wide range of analytical services to the semiconductor industry for over 30 years. Using a variety of methods and laboratories, manufacturers generally rely upon their own understanding of the problems or the additional support of an external laboratory in order to resolve the issue. Analytical methods are selected based on a familiar method or the equipment available through the laboratory. This approach often involves unnecessary analytical cost and lengthy investigations to resolve issues.



Increased Capability and Expertise

Over the past 5 years, Balazs has made strategic acquisitions in both equipment and personnel in order to offer a complete range of analytical services, in addition to the expertise to assess virtually any contamination issue. With a wide range of equipment and testing services available on-site in our Fremont, CA, Dallas, TX, and Fishkill, NY, laboratories, Balazs is a valuable analytical resource. Additionally, the expertise of the Balazs staff is a powerful resource to assess issues as they surface. Chances are Balazs staff members have addressed and resolved the problem previously.

Fab & Tool OPTIMA- Packaging the Knowledge

Fab & Tool OPTIMA™ (OPTimization for MANufacturing) sums up the package that Balazs is able to offer. It provides an overall strategy to identify and eliminate microcontamination throughout the fab while considering the custom environments of each location. Balazs aims to 'solve the microcontamination mysteries' that occur in every manufacturing facility. By implementing an analytical program suited for your facility, you can expect the elimination of current contamination sources and rapid identification and resolution to future process excursions.

Creating a contamination-free environment and resolving excursions quickly will increase production ramp time, increase yields and reduce downtime for manufacturers. OPTIMA is applicable to IC processing, tool design and manufacturing, and any other high-tech industry concerned with contamination. By working with each facet of possible contamination contributors, Balazs enhances the synergy that exists between tool manufacturers, facility engineers and the engineers on the production floor.

Contamination Sources

Innumerable contamination sources exist in manufacturing areas. By identifying and eliminating known contributors, reduced risk for production failures is attained.

OPTIMA encompasses the evaluation of the existing environment to determine their contribution to cleanroom contamination.

Outside Air	Piping
Incoming Water	Tiles
Process Chemicals	Filters
Process Gases	Sealants
Tools	Packaging Materials
Parts	Personnel
Components	Consumables
Chemical Reactions in Cleanroom Air	

Table 1: Examples of contamination sources

Sample Types

The growth and expansion of Balazs expertise that has taken place over the past 5 years provides a unique ability to analyze a variety of sample types. This versatility not only simplifies sample collection and handling, but it allows Balazs to use varying methods in order to confirm the results.

Gaseous Samples	Wafers
Liquid Samples	Tiles
Assemblies	Filters
Gloves	Sealants
Lenses	Packaging Materials

Table 2: Examples of sample types

Fab & Tool OPTIMA- Key Components

OPTIMA is summarized into 3 main components, each providing a specific function to lead to a manufacturing facility reaching its manufacturing peak quickly and producing optimum yields with reduced downtime.

Baseline Evaluations

The key to identifying unwanted trends in contaminants is to understand the baseline levels. Once baselines are established, increases in contaminants can be identified and rectified before production issues are encountered. Baseline evaluations are beneficial for:

- ✓ Airborne Molecular Contamination (AMC)
- ✓ Equipment Environments (i.e. Steppers, Implanters, etc.)
- ✓ Organics
- ✓ Ultrapure Water (UPW)

Reduce Contamination

Initial baseline levels are not necessarily perfect. Reducing contamination using Balazs as an information resource typically results in dramatic decreases in baseline levels and immediate improvement in contamination throughout the facility. Analyses investigate the levels of:

- ✓ Particles
- ✓ Metals
- ✓ Anions
- ✓ Cations
- ✓ Organics

Material and Component Optimization

Based on research and evaluations of materials used in the cleanroom facility, Balazs assists in selection of materials being considered for use. This evaluation applies to:

- ✓ Equipment Design
- ✓ Equipment Materials
- ✓ Consumables
- ✓ Material Compatibility

Using the baseline studies and historical contamination issues, Balazs develops a customized Certificate of Cleanliness (CoC) that is accepted by the fab and the equipment provider to ensure potential contamination is identified before entering the fab.

Results

OPTIMA is focused on the same goal each manufacturer is reaching for: contamination-free environments. While 'contamination-free' may be realistically impossible, each step toward it provides notable increases in manufacturing capabilities.

Working with the cleanroom and the multitude of suppliers who provide goods that enter the cleanroom creates an environment that is a win-win to each party. The results often lead to the product differentiation each player is seeking.



Part-per-Quadrillion (PPQ) Analysis of Ultrapure Deionized Water for Semiconductor Fabs



By Dr. Hugh Gotts and David Bollinger

Abstract

Decreasing impurity levels in materials used by the semiconductor industry ensure greater device functionality at smaller device geometries. The most commonly used solvent in the semiconductor fabrication process, ultra pure water (UPW), is not immune to meeting these increasingly stringent specifications. Committees for the International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors (ITRS) set specifications for materials based on future semiconductor device geometry trends. The ITRS roadmap presently has specifications for critical metallic impurities in UPW set at less than 1 ppt. This specification will continue through the year 2007. However, changes for this specification will require no critical metallic impurity be greater than 0.5 ppt (500 ppq) starting in the 2008 and beyond. But, this leads to some very important questions: how can a cutting edge fab meet these specifications, and more importantly, what steps must be taken to accurately measure impurities at these low levels?

Initial investigations into quantitative method development for the analysis of metallic impurities at the sub-ppt level revealed that special care must be taken during sampling collection, sample transport, and sample analysis steps. Sample collection, while generally considered as simple and routine, must be carefully assessed in order to eliminate the introduction of elemental contaminants from the sampling environment, sampling technique, and maintain sample integrity through sample transport. Sample analysis, even though completed within a clean room laboratory environment, must also be carefully scrutinized. In this work, data is presented regarding the validation of new analytical methods that, not only satisfy the analysis requirements of the current ITRS guidelines, but will also meet the new analytical guidelines for 2008. Examples of how ppq analysis of UPW has been used to solve process problems in current semiconductor fabs are also demonstrated.

Introduction

Ultra pure water (UPW) is the most commonly used solvent in the semiconductor fabrication process. Historically, the technology for the purification of UPW has been adequate to maintain the purity levels needed for the semiconductor industry. While minor changes over the past decade have been made, the basic technology involved in the purification process has basically remained the same. Even analytical technologies have been inadequate to measure the true metallic impurity levels in semiconductor-grade UPW. However, decreases in line-width geometries of semiconductors have lead to new concerns about impurities in UPW. Committees for the International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors (ITRS) set specifications for materials based on these future semiconductor device geometry trends (1). The ITRS committee that sets specifications for UPW purity has determined that specifications must be changed to meet the requirements of the new line-width geometries of the next generation of semiconductors. Proposed metallic impurities guidelines from 2005 through 2013 are detailed in Table A. Current UPW purity specifications for semiconductor fabs require no metallic impurity should be greater than 1 part-per-trillion (ppt) for the following critical metals: Al, As, Ba, Ca, Co, Cu, Cr, Fe, K, Li, Mg, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb, Sn, Ti, and Zn. ITRS has agreed that these requirements will be adequate throughout the year 2007. However, these specifications change for 2008 and beyond to 0.5 ppt., or 500 parts-per-quadrillion (ppq).

Analytical methodologies have been developed for the analysis of UPW to current ITRS specifications (2-4). However, the new 2008 guidelines represent a new challenge for the analytical field because they will call for the detection of impurities below the capability of most analytical methods. New analytical methods are needed to not only detect whether the new specifications can be met, but also provide a quantitative determination of metallic impurities for a system to determine whether the system truly meets the new specifications.

Experimental

The preparation of new sampling bottles required two steps: PFA sample bottles were leached with a mixture of 10% HNO₃ [Fisher Optima grade] / 10% HF [Stella SA-X grade, Japan] for two weeks, followed by

soaking in 10% HF for two weeks. Prior to sample acquisition, each sample bottle was rinsed with 5% HF [Stella SA-XX grade, Japan] followed by copious amounts of semiconductor grade UPW.

UPW samples were collected by allowing UPW from selected sampling points to flow directly into the specially cleaned sample bottles. Wafer fab UPW bath samples for fab troubleshooting purposes were collected using the PFA sampler shown in Figure 1. Following sample collection, the UPW samples were acidified with a semiconductor-grade acid and allowed to stand for at least 4 hrs. Aliquots of the collected sample were then transferred to pre-cleaned PFA evaporation bottles. The bottles were weighed and evaporated to dryness using an ultra-clean sample evaporation system. The patented, custom design evaporation system is constructed with all PFA parts and features a non-contact heat source (Figure 2) (5). Residues were dissolved in a solution of 2% HNO₃/2% H₂O₂ [semiconductor grade] for final analysis. Existing methods for the determination of metallic impurities to current ITRS specifications required a preconcentration to bring the analyte concentration in the solution into the dynamic range of the analysis instrument. A constant total pre-concentration factor was used for all samples for further investigations. Elemental analyses were carried out on a PE-Sciex 6100 DRC 2 Dynamic Reaction Cell Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer (DRC ICP-MS) [PE-Sciex, Norwalk, CT, USA] equipped with a quartz spray chamber [PE-Sciex, Norwalk, CT, USA] and 50 µL/min PFA micronebulizer [Elemental Scientific, Omaha, NE.]. The Dynamic Reaction Cell uses post-plasma ion-molecule reactions to eliminate atomic and molecular isobaric interferences that are created within the plasma during analysis. The DRC ICP-MS instrument design has been described in detail by Tanner, Baranov, and Vollkopf (6). Individual working standards were prepared by serial dilution from separate NIST-traceable spectroscopy standards [High Purity Standards, Charleston, SC]. Semiconductor-grade 18.2 MΩ deionized water was used throughout the experiments for dilutions.

Discussion

PPQ Sampling Contamination Considerations

While it is impossible to eliminate all potential contamination sources, one can take steps to minimize contamination effects. However, what can be seen is that virtually anything that comes in contact with the sample, whether solid, liquid, or gas, has the potential to influence the final analysis results. Over several years, analysis of many materials has been done to identify the highest purity materials possible for use in such difficult sample collection efforts.

As a rule of thumb, sampling is generally revered as routine, with only modest training needed to complete the task adequately. However, sampling at the ppq levels requires more detailed examination. One example of contamination potential is the sampling environment itself. Sampling in uncontrolled environments can lead to particulate matter dissolved into the sample by either falling into open sampling bottles, or by Venturi effects seen in the air flow around the UPW sampling stream. Static charge also plays a large part in contamination because particulate matter are especially attracted to the high-purity bottles made from copolymer materials that are prone to static buildup. One particle of iron oxide, for example, about 0.1 micron in size dissolved in one milliliter of water is equivalent to 2 femtogram per gram (fg/g) (7). Because of this contamination risk, routine sampling collection procedures are inadequate for testing to ppq levels.

Another point of consideration once the sample has been collected is how the sample will be transported to the analysis location. Factors such as a heat, pressure, light, surface-to-volume ratio, physical agitation, packaging material, and container positioning will all affect the final result. One must take steps to minimize contamination from these factors. Some of these factors can be minimized by shipping the sample bottles to and from the sampling site doubly bagged. The packaging material Vermiculite is never used due to the significant levels of contamination from the fine dust which makes up this material. Foam packing is generally used to keep samples from shifting. One also must consider if the person who transports the sample is knowledgeable about these factors. Samples can be compromised simply because the person shipping the samples was not aware such precautions were needed.

Additionally, contamination may be introduced even during the analysis process. During sample transfer steps for example, the bottles for the sampling and analysis can be contaminated if precautions are not taken. Even in an environment, such as a class 10 cleanroom, the possibility of contamination cannot be ruled out. Assuming an air flow of 90 linear feet per minute, ten CaO particles per cubic foot that are 0.5 µm in size could still be present in the air. If one transfers a 50 mL sample into a vessel with a 1½ inch opening for about two minutes to conduct sample transfer, two particles could enter the vessel yielding a possible contamination of 6 fg/g (7). The threat of

contamination is still present even in this type of cleanroom environment. Therefore, every step in the sample analysis train, from sample collection to sample analysis and data interpretation has been keenly looked at and potential sources of contamination minimized.

Solving Problems in the Fab

The methods developed for measurement of UPW at current ITRS specification levels have been used to solve process problems in real fabs. With the use of these methods, problem areas inside fab processing units were identified. Two such examples are listed below.

Case #1: Two different UPW distribution systems were investigated for stainless steel contamination. Many components (pumps, UV sterilizers, etc.) are typically constructed with stainless steel parts that can lead to contamination in the UPW stream. Samples were collected from two different semiconductor fab areas. Additionally, the two different UPW distribution systems were sampled at several points [Table B]. This sampling strategy allowed for the accurate characterization of several wafer fab sites. UPW point-of-use samples were also taken using the PFA sampling device. This device makes use of all PFA material with no moving parts or o-rings. Simply compressing and releasing the sample bottle creates a vacuum that allows the transfer of sample into the pre-cleaned sample bottle. The only point of contact for the sample is PFA tubing and PFA sampling bottles. Two point-of-use UPW samples from distinct sources were compared [Table C]. The data clearly demonstrate differences in the UPW. These differences helped identify contamination sources at the point-of-use and throughout the distribution system.

Case #2: Hot and cold UPW supply systems leading to a wafer rinse bath tool were investigated for ppq-level impurities. TXRF analysis of process wafers done previously indicated a Cu source somewhere within the rinsing procedure. Further investigations into the source of the Cu contamination lead to the possibility that one of the UPW supplies could be suspect. However, analysis of the UPW baths showed Cu results below the detection limit of routine analytical procedures. Three samples were collected from the system, one from the cold supply and two from the hot supply. PPQ analysis results of the different samples collected are shown in Table D. Results clearly indicate a Cu contamination source coming from the first hot rinse supply. These results enabled the fab to locate a defective part within the UPW stream coming from the first UPW rinse supply.

Efforts to reach new 2008 ITRS Specifications

The work mentioned above demonstrates the ability our laboratories have to collect and analyze UPW samples to current ITRS specifications. However, the question now becomes whether these methods could be further expanded to analyze impurities in UPW to such an aggressive specification as to what is called for in 2008 and beyond. To answer this question, experiments were performed by preconcentration of eight different UPW samples collected from our own UPW system. The samples were spiked at the new 2008 specification level of 0.5 ppt (500 ppq) for each ITRS required element. A preconcentration factor of 60 was used for these experiments because the desired spike levels were a factor of two lower than previous validation work. The new detection limits calculated for this work indicated the spike levels would be significantly above detection levels. The DRC gas parameters used to eliminate isobaric interferences, and resulting calculated method detection limits are shown in Table E. Acceptable spike recoveries, from 84%-115%, were observed for all elements tested [Table F]. The data not only reflects the ability to detect impurities at the 2008 specifications, but also reflects the ability to reliably quantitate these impurities at such levels. These methods could allow a fab to completely determine if they are within compliance of the new ITRS specifications.

The ITRS committee is also currently considering expanding the critical metals list from the current 18 elements to a 30 element list currently used for analysis of other chemicals used in the semiconductor industry. Work has been done to test if this expanded list is feasible to meet. As seen in Table G, the extended list of metals is possible. Au, Pd, and Ru are elements also included in the proposed extended metals list. The feasibility studies for these elements will be tested in future experimental trials.

Conclusion

Analysis at the part-per-quadrillion level requires careful examination of the data to identify sources of contamination in both the sampling and analysis procedures. The methods described here have shown that detection and quantification at ppq levels are possible for the analysis of ultra clean UPW systems. We have

demonstrated, with the use of our PFA sampling system, the ability to obtain clean samples from process baths. Ultra-clean sampling, sample preconcentration and DRC-ICP-MS analysis allow the ability to characterize and diagnose problem areas in UPW process streams at the ppq level.

Meeting the requirements for the new specifications of UPW purity beginning in 2008 will be challenging. Analysis of UPW samples to determine whether or not a system can meet these new specifications also represents special challenges. However, it has been shown that these analytical challenges can be met if the proper precautions in sampling and analysis are taken with regards to minimizing contamination sources. Data reveal the possibility of contamination can be significant in any step of the analysis process. Minute particles from the sampling environment, conditions present during sample transport, sampling containers, and even analytical environment and materials all can affect the final result. Investigations into the effects of these factors, and minimizing their contamination contributions, have lead to success in the analysis at the ppq levels.

References

1. ITRS Guidelines, 2005 Edition
2. Schoen, S.; Anderson, S.; Bollinger, D.; Haddix, M. "Two New Tests for UPW-Meeting the Challenge for New Technologies", *Proceedings of the 25th Annual Semiconductor Pure Water and Chemicals Conference* (February, 2006)
3. Schleisman, A.J.; Bollinger, D; Tillotson, B.; Anderson, S. "Analytical Challenges at the fg/g Level in Ultrapure Water", *Proceeding of the 18th Annual Semiconductor Pure Water and Chemicals Conference* (March, 1999)
4. Bollinger, D; Anderson, S.; Schleisman, A.J. "Sampling and Analysis at the PPQ Levels: Considerations and Pitfalls", *Proceedings of Pittsburg Conference 2001* (March, 2001)
5. Bollinger, D; Schleisman A.J. "Preparation and Analysis of High Purity Semiconductor Chemicals by Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption at the Part-Per-Trillion Level", *Proceedings of 1994 FACSS Conference*, (October 1994)
6. Tanner, S.; Baranov, V.; Vollkopf, U. *Plasma Source Mass Spectrometry: New Developments and Applications*, pp. 34-63, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, UK. (1999)
7. Schleisman, A.J.; Bollinger, D.; Tillotson, B.; Anderson, S. "Method Validation of Ca, Na, K, and Fe at the fg/mL Level in Semiconductor-Grade Chemicals", *Proceedings of 1998 FACSS Conference* (October, 1998)
8. Pennington, B., Air Liquide-Balazs, personal correspondence (September, 2006)

Tables and Figures

DI H2O Critical Metals	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
PPT (each)	<1	<1.0	<1.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5

Table A: ITRS guidelines for metallic impurities in UPW through the year 2013. The specification changes from 1 ppt to 0.5 ppt in 2008.



Figure 1: Ultrapure PFA Sampler. The sampler uses no o-rings or moving parts allowing for ultra-clean sample collection.




Figure 2: Sample evaporation system. This patented system uses only ultra-high purity PFA parts, contains no o-rings, and utilizes a non-contact heat source that evaporates and preconcentrates chemical samples.

Fab I

Sample	Ca	Ti	Cr	Fe	Ni	Cu	Zn
Post-polish*	< 0.85	< 0.15	< 0.25	< 0.50	< 0.15	< 1.00	< 1.00
	< 0.85	< 0.15	< 0.25	< 0.50	< 0.15	< 1.00	< 1.00
Pre-UV**	2.35	< 0.15	< 0.25	0.91	< 0.15	< 1.00	< 1.00
	2.56	< 0.15	< 0.25	0.73	< 0.15	< 1.00	< 1.00

Fab II

Sample	Ca	Ti	Cr	Fe	Ni	Cu	Zn
Post-polish	8.61	< 0.15	1.30	0.94	5.57	< 1.00	< 1.00
	9.78	< 0.15	1.26	0.97	5.38	< 1.00	< 1.00
Pre-UV	38.65	0.38	1.38	7.72	4.45	< 1.00	3.13
	39.74	0.35	1.47	7.25	4.49	< 1.00	3.30

* Post polish – After ion exchange beds

*** Pre-UV – Before ultraviolet sterilizers

Table B: PPQ characterization analysis results of two separate UPW distribution systems. All results are in ppt. Distinct differences in the two systems can be seen.

Element	Point-of-Use I (ppt)	Point-of-Use II (ppt)
Ca	< 0.85	< 0.85
	< 0.85	< 0.85
Ti	< 0.15	1.31
	< 0.15	1.19
Cr	1.07	1.25
	1.04	1.15
Fe	0.74	< 0.50
	0.66	< 0.50
Ni	8.49	0.73
	8.14	0.47
Cu	2.68	2.69
	2.46	2.62
Zn	< 1.00	2.08
	< 1.00	2.10

Table C: PPQ analysis results of two different point-of-use sources. Differences between the two sources can be compared. All results are in ppt.

SAMPLE	Cu
Rinse Bath COLD DI H ₂ O Supply	< 0.17
	< 0.17
1st Rinse Bath HOT DI H ₂ O Supply	4.74
	4.92
2nd Rinse Bath HOT DI H ₂ O Supply	< 0.17
	< 0.17

Table D: PPQ analysis of hot and cold UPW supplies for Cu. All results are in ppt. The results clearly show the system containing the Cu contamination source.

ELEMENT	INTERFERING SPECIES	Method Detection Limit (ppt) (3 sigma)
⁷ Li	---	0.11
²³ Na	---	0.11
²⁴ Mg	¹² C - ¹² C ⁺	0.15
²⁷ Al	¹¹ B - ¹⁶ O ⁺ , ¹² C - ¹⁴ N ⁺ , ²⁸ Si ⁺	0.17
³⁹ K	³⁸ Ar - ¹ H ⁺	0.24
⁴⁰ Ca	⁴⁰ Ar ⁺	0.18
⁴⁸ Ti	³⁶ Ar - ¹² C ⁺ , ¹⁴ N - ¹⁶ O - ¹⁸ O ⁺	0.09
⁵² Cr	⁴⁰ Ar - ¹² C ⁺ , ³⁸ Ar - ¹⁴ N ⁺	0.14
⁵⁵ Mn	⁴⁰ Ar - ¹⁵ N ⁺ , ⁴⁰ Ar - ¹⁴ N - ¹ H ⁺	0.04
⁵⁶ Fe	⁴⁰ Ar - ¹⁶ O ⁺ , ⁴¹ Ar - ¹⁴ N - ¹ H ⁺	0.05
⁵⁹ Co	⁴⁰ Ar - ¹⁹ F ⁺ , ⁴⁰ Ar - ¹⁸ O - ¹ H ⁺	0.13
⁵⁸ Ni	⁴⁰ Ar - ¹⁸ O ⁺ , ⁴⁰ Ar - ¹⁷ O - ¹ H ⁺	0.12
⁶³ Cu	³¹ P - ¹⁶ O - ¹⁶ O ⁺	0.13
⁶⁴ Zn	³⁶ Ar - ²⁸ Si ⁺ , ¹²⁸ Xe ⁺⁺	0.15
⁷⁵ As	⁴⁰ Ar - ³⁵ Cl ⁺ , ⁴⁰ Ar - ¹⁹ F - ¹⁶ O ⁺	0.13
¹²⁰ Sn	---	0.12
¹³⁸ Ba	---	0.08
²⁰⁸ Pb	---	0.11

Table E: DRC gas parameters and new calculated detection limits for 2008 ITRS specifications.

Part-per-Quadrillion (PPQ) Analysis of Ultrapure Deionized Water for Semiconductor Fabs



Element	Method Blanks			0.5 ppt Method Spikes								Average	Coefficient
	Blk #1	Blk #2	Average	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	% Recovery	of Variation
Li	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.49	0.55	0.56	0.53	0.60	0.56	0.50	0.55	106%	7.0%
Na	0.45	0.41	0.43	0.95	1.01	0.99	0.90	0.95	0.99	0.99	0.94	107%	4.0%
Mg	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.69	0.54	0.61	0.66	0.55	0.63	0.62	0.59	114%	8.0%
Al	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.67	0.57	0.61	0.67	0.53	0.59	0.68	0.56	115%	9.0%
K	0.02	0.09	0.06	0.51	0.49	0.55	0.71	0.56	0.51	0.57	0.67	103%	14.0%
Ca	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.64	0.61	0.64	0.60	0.60	0.66	0.78	0.70	108%	9.0%
Ti	0.20	0.02	0.11	0.51	0.51	0.54	0.56	0.54	0.48	0.52	0.57	84%	6.0%
Cr	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.47	0.50	0.44	0.55	0.52	0.43	0.56	0.52	97%	10.0%
Mn	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.56	0.55	0.56	0.53	0.55	0.53	0.53	0.55	108%	2.0%
Fe	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.53	0.54	0.55	0.55	0.57	0.56	0.58	0.54	106%	3.0%
Co	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.61	0.54	0.55	0.56	0.48	0.48	0.51	0.50	105%	8.0%
Ni	0.06	-0.01	0.03	0.53	0.49	0.56	0.58	0.49	0.58	0.59	0.58	105%	8.0%
Cu	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	0.51	0.53	0.50	0.55	0.56	0.50	0.52	0.42	104%	8.0%
Zn	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.52	0.55	0.63	0.56	0.63	0.49	0.56	0.61	110%	9.0%
As	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.50	0.42	0.46	0.49	0.46	0.53	0.40	0.46	85%	9.0%
Sn	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.53	0.45	0.53	0.54	0.53	0.45	0.53	0.55	101%	8.0%
Ba	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.53	0.52	0.54	0.56	0.55	0.47	0.53	0.53	104%	5.0%
Pb	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.51	0.55	0.54	0.55	0.47	0.58	0.58	0.54	104%	7.0%


Table F: 0.5ppt (500 ppq) spike recoveries for the proposed ITRS 2008 metals list. The data was collected using eight separate UPW samples.

Element	Method Blanks			0.5 ppt Method Spikes								Average	Det. Lim.
	Blk #1	Blk #2	Average	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	% Recovery	(3-sigma)
Be	0.08	0.03	0.06	0.51	0.51	0.59	0.62	0.50	0.59	0.55	0.47	98%	0.16
V	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.46	0.51	0.49	0.52	0.50	0.37	0.43	0.47	90%	0.15
Ga	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.49	0.52	0.53	0.51	0.41	0.49	0.52	99%	0.11
Ge	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.52	0.51	0.51	0.50	0.47	0.50	0.48	99%	0.07
Sr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.52	0.50	0.50	0.52	0.51	102%	0.02
Zr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.53	0.49	0.54	0.49	0.51	0.52	0.52	103%	0.05
Nb	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.51	0.50	0.52	0.50	0.48	0.49	0.49	99%	0.05
Mo	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.54	0.54	0.56	0.50	0.53	0.50	0.55	106%	0.07
Ag	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.56	0.40	0.46	0.51	0.55	0.49	0.48	0.42	95%	0.17
Cd	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.47	0.50	0.47	0.54	0.57	0.52	0.55	103%	0.11
In	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.50	0.50	0.49	0.49	0.50	98%	0.03
Sb	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.48	0.50	0.57	0.59	0.50	0.56	0.51	105%	0.13
La	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.49	0.50	0.52	0.52	0.48	0.49	0.45	99%	0.07
Ta	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.41	0.49	0.52	0.50	0.40	0.48	0.49	94%	0.13
W	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.49	0.51	0.51	0.55	0.33	0.56	0.54	100%	0.22
Pt	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.57	0.57	0.58	0.53	0.47	0.43	0.44	103%	0.18
Tl	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.51	0.50	0.51	0.54	0.51	0.46	0.47	99%	0.09
Bi	0.00	0.00	0	0.49	0.49	0.52	0.49	0.52	0.45	0.52	0.53	100%	0.08

Table G: 0.5 ppt (500 ppq) spike recoveries and calculated method detection limits for the proposed ITRS 2008 extended metals list.

Note: Stepper Warranty Validation- AMC and Refractory Compounds

Stepper manufacturers require certain, periodic analyses in order to maintain full warranty coverage of the tool. Balazs conducts these analyses and provides analytical reports to illustrate due diligence to tool manufacturers. To maintain warranty coverage, the following analyses are typical (each manufacturer may have slightly different requirements):



Representation of haze build-up occurring on a photomask

- ✓ Airborne Molecular Contamination (AMC) in cleanroom air and the tool environment
- ✓ Analysis of refractory compounds
- ✓ Contamination in process and purge gases
- ✓ Mask and reticle contamination analysis
- ✓ Immersion fluid analysis

Additionally, if any analyses fail to meet the defined specifications, Balazs will conduct further evaluation to identify the root cause(s) of the problem to bring the environment back into compliance. Specifically, these tests involve further AMC analysis, organic outgassing studies, material compatibility studies and haze analysis for optics, mirrors and lenses.

Note: 'Best Process Innovation' Awarded to Balazs Analytical Services



ICIS Publications' Innovation Awards 2006 sponsored by Dow Corning, awarded Balazs Analytical Services as the winner in the category of Best Process Innovation. The innovation, commercially known as SARISTM, is the culmination of research and method development to use laser ablation ICP-MS for highly accurate materials analysis of semiconductors, refractory materials, ceramics and many other materials in the high-tech arena.

Dr. Fuhe Li and Dr. Hugh Gotts led the effort to develop processes and techniques to transform LA-ICP-MS into a beneficial analytical method for high-tech industries. SARIS uses laser ablation to eliminate sample preparation steps and to provide efficient ionization. ICP-MS measurement of the sample immediately following ionization provides quantitative analysis, generating data useful for dose measurement and accurate depth profiling. The method is also useful as an effective screening tool in testing for RoHS and other environmental restriction compliance.

Dow Corning, which has a strong focus on innovation and R&D as part of its growth strategy, has sponsored the awards since its inception. This is the third year of the awards involving ICIS' two weekly chemical titles: ICIS Chemical Business and ICIS Chemical Business Americas. The originator and organizer of the awards is John Baker, editor of ICIS Chemical Business. This year's awards included four categories: best product innovation, best process innovation, best innovation by an SME, and best environmental impact from an innovation.

[Read more about SARIS applications from Balazs](#)

Note: Balazs offers SARISTM as an Alternate Screening Method for RoHS Compliance

SARISTM utilizes laser ablation ICP-MS to provide a screening method that provides rapid, quantified results to support RoHS compliance programs. The RoHS directives have defined specification levels for restricted substances at low levels. Other screening methods, like XRF, are not providing sufficient confidence that the methods are capable of detecting hazardous substances at the defined specification level.



X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) has taken center stage as a RoHS screening technique but the NPL (National Physical Laboratory), the UK's National Measurement Laboratory, is beginning a study to determine its suitability for RoHS compliance testing. The practical limitations of XRF capability limit its ability to identify some of the banned RoHS substances at the required levels leading to inaccurate compliance acknowledgements. Inability to quantify banned substances at specification levels can result in unnecessary, costly wet chemical testing.

[Read more about RoHS analysis from Balazs](#)

[Read more about SARIS applications from Balazs](#)

Note: Vacuum Technology Analyses

Vacuum technology processes span the spectrum of high-tech industries and manufacturing methods. Balazs offers a multitude of analytical services to ensure optimum use and capability of vacuum processes by contamination identification and compositional analysis to maximize yields.



Specifically, Balazs offers services to analyze:

- ✓ ALD, CVD, PVD, DLC and EPI Films and Materials
- ✓ Bare Silicon
- ✓ Oxide and Nitride Wafer Surfaces
- ✓ Plasma Etch Systems
- ✓ Optics, Lasers, Steppers and Mirrors

[Read more about Analytical Methods for Vacuum Technology offered by Balazs](#)